

United States Patent and Trademark Office

cer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,317	04/07/2006	Kenjiro Okaguchi	36856.1433	7409
54066 7590 10/12/2007 MURATA MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP			EXAMINER	
			DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M	
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			2834	
				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/595,317	OKAGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	· ·	·				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	oril 2006					
, ——	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-13</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	*	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	•				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/595,317

Art Unit: 2834

.Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi (US 7,046,096). Kobayashi notes a surface-acoustic-wave (col. 3, lines 57-60) sensor-included oscillator circuit comprising: a piezoelectric substrate (col. 3, lines 57-60); an electrode arranged on the piezoelectric substrate so as to excite a surface acoustic wave; a reaction film (col. 15, II. 5-10) arranged on the piezoelectric substrate so as to cover the electrode and so as to excite a surface acoustic wave, the reaction film being bound to a target substance or a binding material to be bound to the target substance; and a surface acoustic wave sensor that is capable of detecting a bit of mass loading on the basis of a variation in frequency being connected as a resonator;

Page 3

wherein the surface-acoustic-wave-sensor-included oscillator circuit includes a directcurrent cutting capacitor (62, 64 in fig. 8) connected in series to the surface acoustic wave sensor (34), and an impedance matching circuit (20) including the direct-current cutting capacitor is provided in the surface-acoustic-wave-sensor-included oscillator circuit.

The device may operate as a biosensor apparatus comprising the surfaceacoustic-wave-sensor-included oscillator circuit as described. Note that this is intended use and not further limiting to the claimed structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not show the circuit arrangement claimed in claims 9-11.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

October 2, 2007

TOM DOUGHERTY